

County, Wyoming. Northwest states that the proposed tap facilities will be located at approximately milepost 437.5 on Northwest's existing 22-inch Ignacio to Sumas mainline in Section 25, Township 20 North, Range 115 West. Northwest further states that the proposed facilities, consisting of a 10-inch tap, valves, appurtenances and approximately 100 feet of 10-inch piping, will have the capacity to deliver to or receive up to approximately 100,000 Dth per day (at 700 psig) to or from the Hub to be owned and operated by Overland Trail Transmission Company LP (Overland), an intrastate pipeline. Northwest states that it will provide transportation service to and from the Hub pursuant to authorized Rate Schedule TF-1 and TI-1 transportation agreements with various shippers.

Northwest explains that the proposed tap facilities will be used by Northwest to deliver to and receive natural gas from a new bi-directional meter station to be owned and operated by Overland as part of the Hub, under duly authorized transportation agreements with various shippers on Northwest's system. It is stated that Northwest and Overland have entered into a Facilities Agreement dated May 15, 1995, which provides for Northwest to construct, own and operate the proposed tap facilities. Northwest further explains that the Hub will provide a variety of natural gas market hub services to shippers utilizing the Hub via interconnections with various pipelines. Northwest advises that Overland's plans for the Hub include interconnections with Colorado Interstate Gas Company, Kern River Gas Transmission Company and Questar Pipeline Company, in addition to Northwest.

Northwest states that Overland will reimburse Northwest for the construction cost of the proposed tap facilities, estimated to be \$157,300.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-17950 Filed 7-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-610-000]**

**Texas-Ohio Pipeline, Inc.; Application**

July 17, 1995.

Take notice that on July 11, 1995, Texas-Ohio Pipeline, Inc. (Texas-Ohio), 800 Gessner, Suite 900, Houston, Texas 77024, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon, by sale to Compressor Systems, Inc. (CSI) a portion of Texas-Ohio's compression facilities located in Garrard County, Kentucky, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas-Ohio states that in an effort to improve its operational efficiency and economic condition, the abandonment proposed herein will enable Texas-Ohio to streamline its operations, to reduce its existing transportation rates and continue to assure shippers service that will be more efficient as well as competitive.

Specifically, Texas-Ohio proposes to abandon only a portion of its compression facilities consisting of a single Caterpillar engine with an Ariel JGK/4 compressor with frame and Airtech cooler and transfer those facilities back to CSI.

Texas-Ohio states that it was constructed to operate as a winter peaking service which allowed gas flow around historical bottlenecks created in Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company's (Tennessee) and Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation's (TETCO) supply area. Texas-Ohio states that its facilities consist of approximately 600 feet of 10-inch pipeline and two gas compression units each with approximately 980 horsepower. With the advent of Order No. 636 and the restructuring of the interstate pipeline industry, Texas-Ohio states that its pipeline operations have significantly changed. It is stated that unbundling of pipeline services and rate structure changes on the interstate pipelines have changed the economics and the flow of natural gas on both the interconnecting pipelines of Texas-Ohio's system to a point where historical bottlenecks occur less often, requiring substantially less peaking service.

It is stated that Texas-Ohio's facilities have been available for peaking service

during the past two winter seasons. However, Texas-Ohio contends that, since the inception of Order No. 636, the amount of gas throughput has only required the use of a single compression unit, versus the two currently in place. Texas-Ohio states that upon Commission approval, the abandonment would allow Texas-Ohio to physically remove a single compression unit which would transfer back to CSI, leaving the second or like unit in place at the Texas-Ohio facilities assuring service, should it be requested. Although both units are identical and the removal of one unit versus the other will not make a difference, the unit selected for removal is the first unit located on the suction side or closest to the Tennessee interconnection. Further, it is stated that since the approval of the blanket transportation certificate by the Commission and the completion of the required open-season, no requests for firm (FTS) capacity have been received, requiring no allocation of system capacity.

Texas-Ohio states that the authorization of the abandonment will serve the public interest by reducing cost of service, including operating expenditures for labor and equipment maintenance, thereby reducing Texas-Ohio's current transportation rates.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before August 7, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment

are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Texas-Ohio to appear or be represented at the hearing.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-17951 Filed 7-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Project No. 2643-001 Oregon]**

**PacifiCorp Electric Operations;  
Availability of Environmental  
Assessment**

July 17, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) Regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for a subsequent license for the existing Bend Hydroelectric Project, located on the Deschutes River, in Deschutes County, Oregon, in the City of Bend, and has prepared a final Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project. In the EA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the existing and potential future environmental effects of the project and concludes that either issuance of a new license for the proposed project, with staff preferred measures, or retiring the project with staff preferred measures, would not be a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 3104, of the Commission's offices

at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-17946 Filed 7-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Project No. 11438-001 Pennsylvania]**

**Dashields Hydro Associates;  
Surrender of Preliminary Permit**

July 17, 1995.

Take notice that the Dashields Hydro Associates, permittee for the Dashields Hydro Project No. 11438, located on the Ohio River, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, has requested that its preliminary permit be terminated. The preliminary permit was issued on January 12, 1994, and would have expired on December 30, 1996. The permittee states that the project would be economically infeasible.

The permittee filed the request on July 5, 1995, and the preliminary permit for Project No. 11438 shall remain in effect through the thirtieth day after issuance of this notice unless that day is a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday as described in 18 CFR 385.2007, in which case the permit shall remain in effect through the first business day following that day. New applications involving this project site, to the extent provided for under 18 CFR Part 4, may be filed on the next business day.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-17947 Filed 7-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Project No. 11436-001 West Virginia]**

**Opekiska Hydro Associates; Surrender  
of Preliminary Permit**

July 17, 1995.

Take notice that the Opekiska Hydro Associates, permittee for the Opekiska Project No. 11436, located on the Monongahela River in Marion County, West Virginia, has requested that its preliminary permit be terminated. The

preliminary permit was issued on March 16, 1994, and would have expired on February 28, 1997. The permittee states that the project would be economically infeasible.

The permittee filed the request on July 11, 1995, and the preliminary permit for Project No. 11436 shall remain in effect through the thirtieth day after issuance of this notice unless that day is a Saturday, Sunday or holiday as described in 18 CFR 385.2007, in which case the permit shall remain in effect through the first business day following that day. New applications involving this project site, to the extent provided for under 18 CFR Part 4, may be filed on the next business day.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-17948 Filed 7-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**Notice of Cases Filed With the Office  
of Hearing and Appeals; Week of June  
12 Through June 16, 1995**

During the Week of June 12 through June 16, 1995, the appeals and applications for other relief listed in the Appendix to this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Under DOE procedural regulations, 10 CFR Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of the regulations, the date of service of notice is deemed to be the date of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585.

Dated: July 17, 1995.

**George B. Breznay,**

*Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.*

**LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS**

[Week of June 12 through June 16, 1995]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
June 12, 1995 ...	Richard W. Miller, Kansas City, Missouri.	VFA-0049	Appeal of an information request denial. If granted: The May 5, 1995 Freedom of Information Request Denial issued by the Strategic Petroleum Reserve Project Management Office would be rescinded, and Richard W. Miller would receive access to memoranda, government cost estimates, price negotiation memoranda, job diaries and drafts of specifications regarding contract number DEAC96-92 PO16055 between the DOE and the Foley Company.